

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,960	05/29/2002	Seiji Asaoka	1854	3271
7:	590 06/29/2004		EXAM	IINER
Karen G Kaiser National Starch & Chemical Company Box 6500 Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			PENG, KUO LIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5`			_			
ν <u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/009,960	ASAOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/	/8/04 Amendment.					
- - -, -						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the state of the above claim(s) is/are with the state of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority document application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority application from the International Bues * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the priority application from the International Bues * See the	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Applicants' amendment filed on April 8, 2004 was received. Claims 1-16 are deleted. Claims 17-24 are added.
- 2. Claim rejection under 35 USC 102 by Kim100 (WO 99/58100) in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 1103) is removed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 19-24 recites the limitation "claim 1 or 2" and/or "claim 3". There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitation in the claim.

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action (Paper No. 1103).

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kim588 (WO 00/12588).

Kim588 discloses a cosmetic composition (e.g., hair fixative)(page 5, lines 27-36) containing a polyurethane formed from a free-radically polymerizable, siloxane-containing urethane (meth)acrylate which comprises, in incorporated form, components a)-d) (page 6, lines 1-18). Component c) can be a diol (page 8, line 46 to page 9, line 11). The urethane (meth)acrylate can additionally comprise component e) which can be a carboxylic acid-containing diol and a tertiary aminecontaining diol (page 15, lines 28-30, page 16, lines 7-11, page 17, lines 1-11 and page 34, lines 4-9). The urethane (meth)acrylate can be water-soluble or water dispersible (page 33, line 34 to page 34, line 2). Note that the cosmetic composition can consist essentially of the polyurethane (page 39, lines 11-32). Kim588 further discloses in Example 4 wherein DMPA is reacted in the first stage of the reaction, and tBAEMA is reacted in the second stage. Although the tBAEMA is not reacted in the first stage, Claim 18 and dependent claims thereof are product-by-process claims. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on

Art Unit: 1712

the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process" In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). It is noted that US 6 524 564 is the English equivalent of the instant reference. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as mentioned above, Kim588 does disclose an amphoteric urethane resin prepared by a reaction wherein DMPA and tBAEMA are reacted in separate stages of the reaction.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/009,960

Art Unit: 1712

advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

klp

June 24, 2004

Art Unit: 1712

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712